tractile, so that the movements of the region will affect these chamels, e-precially as the stroma is lax. Towards the commencement of the lateral nerve-cords, the vasenlar trimks are fomblat their inner borders, and in some sections more than one trumk ocenrs on each side at the commenement. The hypoderm and basement-tissue of the suont are largely developed, the basement-tisue especially being massive in the esophageal region, where it is more bulky than the circular musenlar coat within it. So far as can be observed in sections of the anterior region of the proboscis, the nerves (elastic layer, M'I.) are at least 11 in number*. The cerchat organs are in front of the ganglia, and the grntpunches do not come forward to the brain, the suceceding region, as in $A$. lactiflorens, being occupied by the thickwalled cesophageal section of the almentary apparatus.

## HAPLANITION OF THE PLATES.

Plate: II,
Ïg. 1. Jateral viow of the very vounr Phycis hemothes. Fnlared.
Fïg. $\because$. Ventral view of the forecomer. cimilarly enlarged. The expansons of the rentral fins are indicated towards the tip. The straight line indirates the natural size of the example.
Fig. 3. Ierstoration of the parts at the fork of the corcheratulus from Naples, by Mr. I. ('. Punnett, M..А. (Cintab.). ×lis diams.
circ.m.. cirenlar mosular fibres; d.b.r., dorsa! longitudinal ressel : i.l.m., inner lo gitudinal muscular fibres: i.n.e., inner nerre-cords; l.b.r., lateral blood-vesel ; n.c., outer nerve-cords (ordinary) ; o.l.m., cuter longitudimal musenlar layer; o.n.c., onter herve-cord of bitid reginn; rh.c., pruboscis-sheath.

## Pi. ate III.

Fiig. 1. Difid Cerelratutus amgulatus, sent by Dr. C. H. Williamson from Aberdeen Biy. The left side shows the ventral aspect with the mosth in front, whilst the litid tail is viewed trom the dorsum. About natural size.
F゙ig. シ. 13ifid C'erelratnlug "maryinatus" from Noples. The fimure (whichs is inverted) is copied from a water-culour by Mr. Li. L'. ['unnett.
VIII. - On the Generic Arrangement of the Australiun Rits hutherto refersed to Conilurns, with liemurles on the Structure amd Evolution of their Molar C'usps. By Ulidfietd 'lhomas.

Is comection with the working ont of some Australian Muridæ recently received by the British Mnsemn through the gencrosity of Sir William Ingram and the Hon. John

* These were best seen in sections of the proboscis by Dr. Tosh.

Ann. de Mag. N. Hist. S̈cr. 7. Vol. avii.

Forrest. I have liad oceasion to examine the generic position of the little rat I described in 1889 * as " $1 / 4 \mathrm{~s}$ " argur"us, and, for comparison with that, of all the species hitherto referred to the group of the Jerboa Rats-Conilurus.

Withont much inquiry into their dental structure, all the Australian long-cared rats were placed by earlier writers in the genus "Hapalotis," which was founded by Lichtenstein on the species $I I$. allipes. The name, however, being prenceupied, was rightly supers'ded by J. D. Ogilby, in $1892 \dagger$, in favour of that of Conilurus, W. Ogilby, founded on the same well-known species.

In 1898 Mr . Waite, of the Sydney Mnsenm, observing the striking peculiarity of the feet of Conilurus longicandatus and other allied species, separated off the jerboa-footed forms minder the name of Podanomalus, while he gave to another jerboa-footed form, C. cervinus, the generic name of Thylacomys, which, being preoccupied, he afterwards altered to Ascopharynx.

But in doing so Mr. Waite did not notice that Lesson had already given the name of Notomys to the "Dipus Mitchelli" of Ogilby, one of the jerboa-footed species, and therefore Podanomalus becomes a synonym of Notomys. Moreover, as cerrimus agrees both in dentil and pedal structure with Mitchelli and longicaudutus, I am not at present prepared to consider its possession of a rular ponch as a character of generic importance, and should therefore also place Ascopharynx as a synonym of Notomys.

But it has not been hitherto noticed that, besides the difference in foot-structure that separates Notomys from all the other jerboa rats, there are found in the group two quite different types of molar teeth, the differences being similar to those which separate Micromys from Mus, but even more distinct and sharply defined.

For while, as shown in Mr. Waite's figures, the species of Notomys and both Conilurus apicalis and murinus have the same number of molar cusps as 1hus, C. albipes, penicillatus, hirsutus, and certain other species have those described in my "Mus" argurus, i. e. three inner cusps to both $m^{1}$ and $m^{2}$, a postero-internal cusp being present which is absent in Mus. 'lhis type of tooth was figured in my paper on Mus argurus, and proves to be so constant throughout a number of species that it should clearly be considered as of generic importance. The resemblance of the teeth of Mus argurus to those of

[^0]Comilurus liersmlus was noted when I described tho former, hant I did not then carry the investigations as far as I should hase done.

By this character, then, Conilurus upicalis and murinus are at once separable from all the other rat-fonted members of the group, and are brought into the neighburhool of true I/us, to which, through M. lineolutus, they are very closely allied.

Indeed it might be thonght that they should actually be refersed to $\mathrm{J} / \mathrm{us}$; but as it is an advantage to sparate from that protean genms as many species as possible, I would comsider the longr ears of these two species as in licating generic distinetion (as hats always hitherto been donc), and would propnse for them the sprecial name of Leprotlus.

Then among the species with three internal entpi to the molars I find two types of skull-structure. In one gronp, consisting of Coniturus albipes and penicillutus, the sknll is highly modified in shape, as has been describad by previous writers, while in the other, to which the species hirsutus, mucrurus, pedunculutus, and argurus belong, it is very much as in ordinary rats. For this latter group I would sughest the name of . Immomys.

These conclusions may be tabulated as follows:-

## I. Notomis.

Notumys, Lees. N. Tabl. R. A., Mamm.

Poulanmmlus, ${ }^{(1)}$ aite, Proc. Roy. Suc. Victoria, x. p. 117 (1ヶ9) …...................
Thylnemmys, W'aite, \%.c. p.1:21 (15.8) (nec filyth, lint1) .............................. Ascopharyur, Waite, Ann. \& Mag. Nat.


Teeth practically as in $1 / u s$; no postero-internal cusp on the molars, along the imer edre of whese series there are therefore only $6-7$ eusps. Skull considerably modified: anterior edge of zygoma-root deeply concave. Ilind feet lengthened, their pads reduced in number to three or four, usnally threc.

Sipecies: N. Mitchelli, cervinus, longicandutus, and Picharelsomi.

## II. Leforillus.

Genus norum
Type: C: appicalis, Giould.
Molars, as in C'omilurus, without pustero-internal cusp: $\mathrm{t}^{*}$ *

Skull very much as in Mus lineolatus. Hind feet normal, with the: usual six pads.

Species: C. cupicalis and murimus.
The gradation of this gemus, from C. apiculis, throngh C. murinus, Mus lineolutus, and Mlus Migginsi, into trie Mus, affords an interesting study in evolution. Whether the Bus lineolatus group should not also be subgenerically separated from the ordinary species of Jus is a question which may have to be considered later.

## III. Ammomys.

Genus novum
Type: A. hirsulus, Gould.
'Teeth as figured in NFus argurus; a distinct and welldefined postero-internal cusp on each molar, so that along the inner edge of the full molar series there are 8-y cusps. Skull rat-like in general form, a well-developed coronoid process on the mandible. Hind feet normal, with six pads. Mamme, so far as known, $0-2=4$.

Species: A. hirsutus, macrurus, peduncu'utus, and argurus.

## IV. Conilurus.

IIapalutis, Licht. Darst. Säng. text to pl. xxix. (18:9) (nec Hübner, 1816)

Type. Conilurus, Ogill. Tr. Linn. Soc. xviii. p. 124 (1888).
C. allipees, Licht. (lipes, Licht.
Teeth and foot-structure as in Ammomys. Skull higlily modified, broad, flattened, the interorbital region concave, the palatal foramina enlarged, and the mandibular coronoid process and incisive capsule reduced or absent.

Species: C. albipes and penicillatus.
Of the other species described as members of this group, arboricola, Krefft, is a Mus rattus; personatus, Krefft, also probably belongs to Mus; and cuudimaculata, Krefft, and pmpuanus, Ramsay, to Uromys.

With regard to the development and evolution of the additional postero-internal cusp on the molars, Dr. Winge, our greatest authority on the suhject, when treating* of its presence in Micromys, has considered that it is a new development, the two original internal cusps (numbered by him on his general scheme " 6 " and " 7 ") having been pushed forward

[^1]in fonm the present antero. internal and median internal लispas. However this latter ponint may he-and even after having had the alvantage of disenssion with Mr. Kinud Andersen wer Dr. W'inge's themres I still find it diffient to believe-I errainly think the postern-intermal cuap camnt be explained as herspposes. He would call it $5 c$, on the ground that it represents an off-ppliting of the imer corner of the man central posterior cusp " 5 " "f the tooth as found in typical Mus, aml is therefore of very recent origin. But if we consider the distribution of the forms which possess it, scattered as they are abont the Old World-l/heromys in the l'alaratic region, "Mus" arborarius and rutilans in Africa, C'rateromys and Lenomys in the Philippines and Celebes, Pugonomys anl Hyomys in New Crine:1,-it scems impossible to believe that these forms have all in widely separated localities independently developed exactly the same structure from a type, as 1 suppose, so advanced and certainly so dominant as Ifus. It would rather appear natural to suppose that (even if a liter growth as enmpared with the very primitive Cricetine series of Marida) it is an early development within the true Murine, occurring here and there in the gromp, and has then been reduced in some forms and lost in others, among which latter would be the dominant and highly developed genus Mus.

Dr. Winge has shown himself such a genius in disentangling the complicated homologies of molar cusps that it is with much diffidence that I put forward this moditication of his views about this postero-internal cusp, which, for purposes of discussion and not to prejudge the question, minght be called the $x$ cusp. I would only recall that nearly all the forms in which it ocemrs have been either discovered or had their thoth-structure described since he wrote his work on the subject, and that he therefore had Micromys alone to examine, and was without the opportmity of considering the very important argument from distribution and occurrence in otherwise widely separated furms which I have now ventured to bring forward.

That many of the small additional ensps fond in such multicuspid Muride as Leggadu, Chimomys, and others ate recent supplementary additions, as Dr. Winge supposes, I would freely admit; but I do not think this to be the case with the interesting.$x$ ensp, which is in Australia the diagnostic mark of Ammomys and Conilurus.


[^0]:    
    $\dagger$ Cat. Austr. Mamm. p. 11:\% (189: $)$.

[^1]:    - "Greske Pattedyr," Yid. Medtl. For. Copenhagen, 1881, p. 17 (under "Mus mystacinus"), and $18 e^{\circ}$, pl. iii. fir. 10 .

